

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,894	KWONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Anderson (Registration No. 37,063).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed restriction claims of the instant Application into two groups. Applicant's representative stated preference of getting written restriction from Examiner instead of making election over the telephone.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)